# Evaluation of Computational methods for Lexical Semantic Change Detection

Pierluigi Cassotti, pierluigi.cassotti@gu.se

# Hello



# Pierluigi Cassotti

Researcher @ Change is Key! Department of Philosophy, Linguistics and Theory of Science University of Gothenburg (Sweden) <u>pierluigi.cassotti@gu.se</u>

#### Outline

- Computational Modeling Lexical Semantics
  - Synchronic Modeling
  - Diachronic Modeling
- Human annotation of Lexical Semantic Change
- Diachronic Models of Language
  - Static Models and Alignment
  - Contextualized Models
  - Generative Models
- Hands-on

#### Outline

- Computational Modeling Lexical Semantics
  - Synchronic Modeling
  - Diachronic Modeling
- Human annotation of Lexical Semantic Change
- Diachronic Models of Language
  - Static Models and Alignment
  - Contextualized Models
  - Generative Models
- Hands-on

# Computational Modeling Lexical Semantics

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.



Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

The President's **plane** landed at Goose Bay at 9:03 p.m..

Different Meaning

#### Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.



#### Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.



#### Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.







- If the sun's rays be parallel to any **plane**, that plane to which they are parallel, is called a plane of shade.
- , its angles upon a given point A, in the **plane**, on which the ichnography is to be described;
- will be no difference between the shadow on the **plane**, and the side of the prism which projects that shadow;
- There are other kinds of **planes** besides the above; as the plough, for sinking a groove to receive a projecting tongue;

Troy turned it to the right, and the **plane** turned to the right, just They had been making good progress, in spite of their greenness; next day Mr. Fulton was planning to stretch the silk over the **planes**; In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to Saudi Arabia are traveling on wide- body **planes** leased from commercial airlines.

Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in either the sagittal or coronal **plane** (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).





If the sun's rays be parallel to any **plane**, that plane to which they are parallel, is called a plane of shade.

its angles upon a given point A, in the **plane**, on which the ichnography is to be described;

will be no difference between the shadow on the **plane**, and the side of the prism which projects that shadow;

There are other kinds of **planes** besides the above; as the plough, for sinking a groove to receive a projecting tongue;

- Troy turned it to the right, and the **plane** turned to the right, just
- They had been making good progress, in spite of their greenness; next day Mr. Fulton was planning to stretch the silk over the planes;
- In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to Saudi Arabia are traveling on wide- body planes leased from commercial airlines.
- Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in either the sagittal or coronal **plane** (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).





If the sun's rays be parallel to any **plane**, that plane to which they are parallel, is called a plane of shade.

its angles upon a given point A, in the **plane**, on which the ichnography is to be described;

will be no difference between the shadow on the **plane**, and the side of the prism which projects that shadow;

There are other kinds of **planes** besides the above; as the plough, for sinking a groove to receive a projecting tongue;

Troy turned it to the right, and the **plane** turned to the right, just They had been making good progress, in spite of their greenness; next day Mr. Fulton was planning to stretch the silk over the **planes**; In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to Saudi Arabia are traveling on wide- body **planes** leased from commercial airlines.

Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in either the sagittal or coronal **plane** (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).





If the sun's rays be parallel to any **plane**, that plane to which they are parallel, is called a plane of shade.

its angles upon a given point A, in the **plane**, on which the ichnography is to be described;

will be no difference between the shadow on the **plane**, and the side of the prism which projects that shadow;

There are other kinds of **planes** besides the above; as the plough, for sinking a groove to receive a projecting tongue;

Troy turned it to the right, and the **plane** turned to the right, just They had been making good progress, in spite of their greenness; next day Mr. Fulton was planning to stretch the silk over the **planes**; In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to Saudi Arabia are traveling on wide- body **planes** leased from commercial airlines.

Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in either the sagittal or coronal **plane** (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).





#### Semasiological change



#### Semasiological change



#### Semasiological change



## **Definition Modeling**

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

The President's **plane** landed at Goose Bay at 9:03 p.m..

A flat or level surface of a material body

# **Definition Modeling**

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

An aeroplane.

## Lexical Substitution

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

The President's **plane** landed at Goose Bay at 9:03 p.m..

level surface flat plane horizontal plane

## Lexical Substitution

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

The President's **plane** landed at Goose Bay at 9:03 p.m..

aircraft airplane jet propeller-driven vehicle

#### Approach properties: Sense Discrimination

Word-in-Context (WiC) do NOT explicit assign sense labels

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

The President's **plane** landed at Goose Bay at 9:03 p.m..

Different Meaning

# Approach properties: Sense Discrimination

Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD) explicitly assign sense labels

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.



#### Approach properties: Interpretability

Word-in-Context (WiC) is NOT interpretable

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

The President's **plane** landed at Goose Bay at 9:03 p.m..

Different Meaning

#### Approach properties: Interpretability

Lexical substitutions can be used to interpret the results

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

aircraft airplane iet propeller-driven vehicle

#### Approach properties: Sense Inventory Independent

Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD) rely on a specific sense inventory

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.



#### Approach properties: Sense Inventory Independent

**Definition Modeling** 

generate sense definitions that are sense inventory **independent** 

Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz.

The President's **plane** landed at Goose Bay at 9:03 p.m..

A flat or level surface of a material body

#### **Approach properties: Parameter free**

#### WSI is **sensitive** to

parameters tuning in the sense induction phase



If the sun's rays be parallel to any **plane**, that plane to which they are parallel, is called a plane of shade.

its angles upon a given point A, in the **plane**, on which the ichnography is to be described;

will be no difference between the shadow on the **plane**, and the side of the prism which projects that shadow;

There are other kinds of **planes** besides the above; as the plough, for sinking a groove to receive a projecting tongue;

Troy turned it to the right, and the **plane** turned to the right, just They had been making good progress, in spite of their greenness; next day Mr. Fulton was planning to stretch the silk over the **planes**; In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to Saudi Arabia are traveling on wide- body **planes** leased from commercial airlines.

Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in either the sagittal or coronal **plane** (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).

# Summary

	Sense Differentiation	Interpretability	Sense Inventory independent	Parameter free	Explicit Granularity tuning	Analysis Level
Word-in-Context (WiC)	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	Pair
Word Sense Induction (WSI)	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	Corpus
Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	Sentence
Target Sense Verification (TSV)	YES	YES	NO	YES	NO	Sentence
Lexical Substitution	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	Sentence
Definition Modeling	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	Sentence

#### Outline

- Computational Modeling Lexical Semantics
  - Synchronic Modeling
  - Diachronic Modeling
- Human annotation of Lexical Semantic Change
- Diachronic Models of Language
  - Static Models and Alignment
  - Contextualized Models
  - Generative Models
- Hands-on

# **Semantics in Diachrony**

#### Diachronic Word-in-Context (DWiC)

December 15th 1836 Provide a large table; this is a horizontal **plane**, and will represent the ground plane, viz. June 2th 1999 The President's **plane** landed at Goose Bay at 9:03 p.m.. Different Meaning

#### Diachronic Word-in-Context (DWiC)

1926	If the sun's rays be parallel to any plane, that plane to which they are parallel, is	2003	Troy turned it to the right, and the	Different Meening
1030	called a plane of shade.	2003	They had been making good	Different Meaning
	its angles upon a given point A, in the plane, on which the		progress, in spite of their greenness; next day Mr. Fulton	
1836	ichnography is to be described;	1999	was planning to stretch the silk over the planes;	Different Meaning
	will be no difference between the shadow on the plane, and the side of the		In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to Saudi Arabia are traveling	
1836	prism which projects that shadow;	1990	on wide- body planes leased from commercial airlines.	Different Meaning
	There are other kinds of planes besides the above; as the plough, for sinking a		Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in	
1853	groove to receive a projecting tongue;	2006	either the sagittal or coronal plane (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).	Different Meaning
#### Diachronic Word-in-Context (DWiC)



#### Diachronic Word-in-Context (DWiC)





If the sun's rays be parallel to any plane, that plane to which they are parallel, is called a plane of shade.

its angles upon a given point A, in the plane, on which the ichnography is to be described;

will be no difference between the shadow on the plane, and the side of the prism which projects that shadow;

There are other kinds of planes besides the above; as the plough, for 3 sinking a groove to receive a projecting tongue;

2003 Troy turned it to the right, and the plane turned to the right, just
They had been making good progress, in spite of their greenness; next
1999 day Mr. Fulton was planning to stretch the silk over the planes;
In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to
Saudi Arabia are traveling on wide- body planes leased from
1990 commercial airlines.

Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in either the sagittal or coronal plane (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).



If the sun's rays be parallel to any plane, that plane to which they are parallel, is called a plane of shade.

its angles upon a given point A, in the plane, on which the ichnography is to be described;

will be no difference between the shadow on the plane, and the side of the prism which projects that shadow;

There are other kinds of planes besides the above; as the plough, for sinking a groove to receive a projecting tongue;

Troy turned it to the right, and the plane turned to the right, just
They had been making good progress, in spite of their greenness; next
day Mr. Fulton was planning to stretch the silk over the planes;

In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to Saudi Arabia are traveling on wide- body planes leased from commercial airlines.

Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in either the sagittal or coronal plane (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).

2006



If the sun's rays be parallel to any plane, that plane to which they are parallel, is called a plane of shade.

its angles upon a given point A, in the plane, on which the ichnography is to be described;

will be no difference between the shadow on the plane, and the side of the prism which projects that shadow;

There are other kinds of planes besides the above; as the plough, for sinking a groove to receive a projecting tongue;

Troy turned it to the right, and the plane turned to the right, just
They had been making good progress, in spite of their greenness; next
day Mr. Fulton was planning to stretch the silk over the planes;

In the meantime, most of the troops and 25% of the supplies flying to Saudi Arabia are traveling on wide- body planes leased from commercial airlines.

Reduction is only needed in patients near skeletal maturity whose fracture has more than 50-70 degrees of angulation in either the sagittal or coronal plane (Rab &; Grottkau, 2001).

2006







## **<u>Graded Score</u>** of Semantic Change



Distance between probability distributions

#### **Binary Label** of Semantic Change



If the sense probability < of the threshold in T1 and > of the threshold in T2 the sense is <u>gained</u>

#### **Binary Label** of Semantic Change



If the sense probability > of the threshold in T1 and < of the threshold in T2 the sense is <u>lost</u>

# Outline

- Computational Modeling Lexical Semantics
  - Synchronic Modeling
  - Diachronic Modeling
- Human annotation of Lexical Semantic Change
- Diachronic Models of Language
  - Static Models and Alignment
  - Contextualized Models
  - Generative Models
- Hands-on

# DURel

#### Diachronic Usage Relatedness (DURel): A Framework for the Annotation of Lexical Semantic Change

#### \*Dominik Schlechtweg, \*Sabine Schulte im Walde, <sup>†</sup>Stefanie Eckmann \*Institute for Natural Language Processing, University of Stuttgart, Germany <sup>†</sup>Historical and Indo-European Linguistics, LMU Munich, Germany dominik.schlechtweg@ims.uni-stuttgart.de, schulte@ims.uni-stuttgart.de, stefanie.eckmann@campus.lmu.de

#### Word-in-Context task



# Graded Word-in-Context task



## Semantic Relatedness vs Semantic proximity (Blank 1997)

4: Identical3: Closely related2: Distantly related1: Unrelated



4: Identity3: Context variance2: Polysemy1: Homonymy

## Diachronic Graded Word-in-Context task

For each word W:

**T1** "...she opened a vein in her **arm**..."



?

"...overlooking and **arm** of the sea..." **T2** 

Language	Corpus	T1	T2
English	ССОНА	1810 - 1860	1960 - 2010
German	DTA; BZ+ND	1800 - 1899	1946 - 1990
Latin	LatinISE	-200 - 0	0 - 2000
Swedish	Kubhist	1790 - 1830	1895 - 1903

## How did we do it?

For each word W:

- "...she opened a vein in her arm..."T1
- "...to pay for the **arms** and ammunition..."**T1** 
  - "...within reach of his arm..."T1

- T2 "...overlooking and arm of the sea..."
- T2 "...an arm of the Red Sea..."
- T2 "...when the disembodied arm of the Statue..."



# How did we do it?

For each word W:



- "...she opened a vein in her **arm**..."**T1**
- "...to pay for the **arms** and ammunition..."**T1** 
  - "...within reach of his arm..."T1

- T2 "...overlooking and arm of the sea..."
- T2 "...an arm of the Red Sea..."
- T2 "...when the disembodied arm of the Statue..."

## How did we do it?

For each word W:

?

"...she opened a vein in her **arm**..."T1 "...to pay for the **arms** and ammunition..."T1 "...within reach of his **arm**..."T1 ►T2 "...overlooking and arm of the sea..."

T2 "...an arm of the Red Sea..."

C2 "...when the disembodied arm of the Statue..."



- 4: Identical
- 3: Closely related
- 2: Distantly related
- 1: Unrelated

<b>T1</b>			Т1
	Pä Caudia mar fullkomlige » lugnt anda lill flutet af April, dä ön hastigt säg sig <mark>blockerad</mark> af 31 Cassotista och	4	Pä Caudia mar fullkomlige » lugnt anda lill flutet af April, dä ön hastigt säg sig blockerad af 31 Cassotista och
<b>T1</b>	De äro styfsinta — sade han — men de ge nog med sig, är det kommer till kritan. man fått löfte om hardt när enhälligt understöd från den" nya center	4	T2 dels förtröstade man på, att folkpartiet, när det komme till kritan, hellre skulle taga till och med denna skenreform än — afslag.
T2	kontant efter den i lisra punkten stadgade grund, till		Τ1

kontant efter den i lisra punkten stadgade grund. till det andra genom en oändlig rad gradationer, och alla eiler nästan alla fenomen, tilldragniog, suggestion etc., som man frambringar under sömnen, kunna hos de flesta medier lika val framkallas i vaket tillstånd. 1

löpande årets Markegång uppgår till lika belopp eller vswerstiger det salunda uträknade medium as scdnaste 5 Arens markegangspris, far ingen uppsägning till lefiverering tn Nalilia ega ruin,

# Graph view of the annotated data



4: Identical
3: Closely related
2: Distantly related
1: Unrelated
Nodes from time T1
Nodes from time T2
No Edge = No annotation









#### New cluster -> Binary change



## change in frequency of clusters -> Graded change

## DWUGs

Language         Time periods           EN         C <sub>1</sub> : 1810 - 1860           C <sub>2</sub> : 1960 - 2010		Diachronic Corpus	# targets 46
		$C_1$ : CCOHA, $C_2$ : CCOHA	
SV	$C_1: 1790 - 1830$ $C_2: 1895 - 1903$	C1: Kubhist, C2: Kubhist	44
DE	$C_1: 1800 - 1899$ $C_2: 1946 - 1990$	$C_1$ : DTA, $C_2$ : BZ+ND	50
LA	$C_1: 200 - 0$ $C_2: 0 - 2000$	$C_1$ : LatinISE, $C_2$ : LatinISE	40
ES	$C_1: 1810 - 1906$ $C_2: 1994 - 2020$	C <sub>1</sub> : PG, C <sub>2</sub> : TED2013, NC, MultiUN, Europarl	100
RU	$C_1$ : 1700 – 1916 $C_2$ : 1918 – 1990 $C_3$ : 1992 –2016	$C_1, C_2, C_3$ : RNC	111
NO	$C_1: 1929 - 1965$ $C_2: 1970 - 2013$ $C_1: NBdigital, C_2: NBdigital$		40
NO	$C_1$ : 1980 - 1990 $C_2$ : 2012 - 2019	C1: NBdigital, C2: NAK	40
ZH	$C_1: 1954 - 1978$ $C_2: 1979 - 2003$	$C_1, C_2$ : People's Daily	40


















#### **DURel Output**





#### **DURel Output**

identifier	cluster
old_corpus_spanish-576808-788	Θ
old_corpus_spanish-532171-191	Θ
old_corpus_spanish-487991-132	Θ
old_corpus_spanish-530109-166	0
old_corpus_spanish-593902-84	Θ
old_corpus_spanish-408016-67	Θ
old_corpus_spanish-23203-53	1
ald aarnus ananiah 146262 270	0

#### **TRY DUREL!**

No DURel

Home Guidelines Docs About





#### Welcome!

DURel is a tool for annotating sentence pairs of words. Annotations of words are used to create clusters of meanings that can be visualized over time. This way, lexical properties such as polysemy, vagueness or the change in meaning of words can be examined.

#### We recommend Mozilla Firefox

To use this website we recommend Mozilla Firefox. Please do not use Safari, as it will cause some errors that will make it impossible to use the website correctly.

#### **Getting Started with DURel**

If you are looking for a short and concice read about the DURel Annotation Tool, please take a look at our <u>blog</u> post. If you want to find out more about how to use the DURel system, you can also check out <u>this video</u>.

#### **Questions and Collaboration**

If you have any questions, would like to use the tool for your work or would like to collaborate with us - for example to add a new language - please do not hesitate to contact us at durel@ims.uni-stuttgart.de.

#### https://durel.ims.uni-stuttgart.de/

#### **DIACR-ITA**



Roma, appalti **pilotati** e corruzione tra imprenditori e funzionari pubblici: 10 arresti



P Basile, A Caputo, T Caselli, P Cassotti, R Varvara. DIACR-Ita@ EVALITA2020: Overview of the evalita2020 diachronic lexical semantics (diacr-ita) task

#### SemEval 2020 Latin





Cumque aliquandiu agitata esset praesens quaestio, dux, sicuti vir humilis erat, et mansuetus, ac timens sermones Domini, in die purificationis **beatae** Mariae, praesente clero et populo universo, ecclesiae Sanctae Resurrectionis, quartam partem Joppe resignavit.

4: Identical





#### SemEval 2020 Latin





Cumque aliquandiu agitata esset praesens quaestio, dux, sicuti vir humilis erat, et mansuetus, ac timens sermones Domini, in die purificationis **beatae** Mariae, praesente clero et populo universo, ecclesiae Sanctae Resurrectionis, quartam partem Joppe resignavit.



# Outline

- Computational Modeling Lexical Semantics
  - Synchronic Modeling
  - Diachronic Modeling
- Human annotation of Lexical Semantic Change
- Diachronic Models of Language
  - Static Models and Alignment
  - Contextualized Models
  - Generative Models
- Hands-on



# A Systematic Comparison of Contextualized Word Embeddings for Lexical Semantic Change



KU LEUVEN



Francesco Periti

francesco.periti@unimi.it



Change is Key!



Nina Tahmasebi nina.tahmasebi@gu.se



### **Lexical Semantic Change** Computational modeling



A Systematic Comparison of Contextualized Word Embeddings for Lexical Semantic Change. (Periti and Tahmasebi, NAACL 2024)

#### **Lexical Semantic Change Graded Change Detection**



82

A Systematic Comparison of Contextualized Word Embeddings for Lexical Semantic Change. (Periti and Tahmasebi, NAACL 2024)

#### **1st Experimental setup** – Languages Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection



## **1st Experimental setup**– моdels Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection

- Monolingual:
  - BERT (Devlin et al., 2019)
- Multilingual:
  - mBERT (Devlin et al., 2019)
  - XLM-R (Conneau et al., 2020)

## **1st Experimental setup** – Layers Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection

Layers (Periti and Dubossarsky, 2023; Ma et al., 2019; Liang and Shi, 2023):
1 ... 12

#### **1st Experimental setup** – Approaches Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection

• form-based:

- APD (Giulianelli et al., 2020)
- PRT (Martinc et al., 2020a)
- sense-based:
  - AP+JSD (Martinc et al., 2020b)
  - WiDiD (Periti et al., 2022)

#### **1st Experimental setup** – Approaches Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection

- unsupervised:
  - pre-trained LM + APD
  - o pre-trained LM + PRT
  - o pre-trained LM + AP+JSD
  - pre-trained LM + WiDiD
- supervised:
  - XL-LEXEME (Cassotti et al., 2023) + APD
  - XL-LEXEME + PRT
  - XL-LEXEME + AP+JSD
  - XL-LEXEME + WiDiD

#### **Experimental results –** Languages Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection

	I Ma		Ĩ							<del>(</del>			
		EN	LA	DE	SV	ES	RU			N	0	ZH	Avgw
		$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_i - C_j$					
	BERT	.563	-	.271	.270	.335	.518	.482	.416	.441	.466	.656	.449
ADD	mBERT	.363	.102	.398	.389	.341	.368	.345	.386	.279	.488	.689	.371
APD	XLM-R	.444	.151	.264	.257	.386	.290	.287	.318	.195	.379	.500	.316
	XL-LEXEME	.886*	.231	.839*	.812*	.665*	.796*	.820*	.863*	.659	.640*	.731*	.751*
	BERT	.457	-	.422	.158	.413	.400	.374	.347	.507	.444	.712	.406
	mBERT	.270	.380	.436	.193	.543	.391	.356	.423	.219	.438	.524	.395
PRT	XLM-R	.411	.424	.369	.020	.505	.321	.443	.405	.387	.149	.558	.381
	XL-LEXEME	.676	.506*	.824	.696	.632	.704	.750	.727	.764*	.519	.699	.693
	BERT	.289	-	.469	090	.225	.069	.279	.094	.314	.011	.165	.179
ADUICD	mBERT	.181	.277	.280	.023	.067	.017	.086	116	.035	090	.465	.077
AP+J5D	XLM-R	.278	.398	.224	076	.224	068	.209	.130	100	.030	.448	.142
	XL-LEXEME	.493	.033	.499	.118	.392	.106	.053	.117	.297	.381	.308	.223
	BERT	.385	÷	.355	.106	.383	.135	.102	.243	.233	.087	.533	.239
	mBERT	.323	039	.312	.195	.343	068	.160	.142	.241	.290	.338	.181
WiDiD	XLM-R	.564	064	.499	.129	.459	.268	.216	.342	.226	.349	.382	.314
	XL-LEXEME	.652	.236	.677	.475	.522	.178	.354	.364	.561	.457	.563	.422

#### **Experimental results** – Form-based vs. Sense-based Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection

	I Me		Ĩ							4			
		EN	LA	DE	SV	ES		RU		N	0	ZH	Avgw
8		$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_i - C_j$					
	BERT	.563	-	.271	.270	.335	.518	.482	.416	.441	.466	.656	.449
APD	mBERT	.363	.102	.398	.389	.341	.368	.345	.386	.279	.488	.689	.371
	XLM-R	.444	.151	.264	.257	.386	.290	.287	.318	.195	.379	.500	.316
	XL-LEXEME	.886*	.231	.839*	.812*	.665*	.796*	.820*	.863*	.659	.640*	.731*	.751*
	BERT	.457		.422	.158	.413	.400	.374	.347	.507	.444	.712	.406
DDT	mBERT	.270	.380	.436	.193	.543	.391	.356	.423	.219	.438	.524	.395
PRT	XLM-R	.411	.424	.369	.020	.505	.321	.443	.405	.387	.149	.558	.381
	XL-LEXEME	.676	.506*	.824	.696	.632	.704	.750	.727	.764*	.519	.699	.693
	BERT	.289	-	.469	090	.225	.069	.279	.094	.314	.011	.165	.179
ADVICD	mBERT	.181	.277	.280	.023	.067	.017	.086	116	.035	090	.465	.077
AP+J5D	XLM-R	.278	.398	.224	076	.224	068	.209	.130	100	.030	.448	.142
	XL-LEXEME	.493	.033	.499	.118	.392	.106	.053	.117	.297	.381	.308	.223
	BERT	.385	÷	.355	.106	.383	.135	.102	.243	.233	.087	.533	.239
	mBERT	.323	039	.312	.195	.343	068	.160	.142	.241	.290	.338	.181
WiDiD	XLM-R	.564	064	.499	.129	.459	.268	.216	.342	.226	.349	.382	.314
	XL-LEXEME	.652	.236	.677	.475	.522	.178	.354	.364	.561	.457	.563	.422

#### **Experimental results –** Monolingual vs. Multilingual Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection

	I Ma		T							<del>(</del>			
	LIVIS	EN	LA	DE	SV	ES		RU		N	0	ZH	Avgw
		$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_i - C_j$					
	BERT	.563		.271	.270	.335	.518	.482	.416	.441	.466	.656	.449
APD	mBERT	.363	.102	.398	.389	.341	.368	.345	.386	.279	.488	.689	.371
AFD	XLM-R	.444	.151	.264	.257	.386	.290	.287	.318	.195	.379	.500	.316
	XL-LEXEME	.886*	.231	.839*	.812*	.665*	.796*	.820*	.863*	.659	.640*	.731*	.751*
PRT	BERT	.457		.422	.158	.413	.400	.374	.347	.507	.444	.712	.406
	mBERT	.270	.380	.436	.193	.543	.391	.356	.423	.219	.438	.524	.395
	XLM-R	.411	.424	.369	.020	.505	.321	.443	.405	.387	.149	.558	.381
	XL-LEXEME	.676	.506*	.824	.696	.632	.704	.750	.727	.764*	.519	.699	.693
	BERT	.289	-	.469	090	.225	.069	.279	.094	.314	.011	.165	.179
AD. ICD	mBERT	.181	.277	.280	.023	.067	.017	.086	116	.035	090	.465	.077
AP+JSD	XLM-R	.278	.398	.224	076	.224	068	.209	.130	100	.030	.448	.142
	XL-LEXEME	.493	.033	.499	.118	.392	.106	.053	.117	.297	.381	.308	.223
	BERT	.385	÷	.355	.106	.383	.135	.102	.243	.233	.087	.533	.239
	mBERT	.323	039	.312	.195	.343	068	.160	.142	.241	.290	.338	.181
WiDiD	XLM-R	.564	064	.499	.129	.459	.268	.216	.342	.226	.349	.382	.314
	XL-LEXEME	.652	.236	.677	.475	.522	.178	.354	.364	.561	.457	.563	.422

#### **Experimental results** – Supervised vs. Unsupervised Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection

	I Ma		T	-						4			
		EN	LA	DE	SV	ES		RU		N	0	ZH	Avgw
		$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_i - C_j$					
iii	BERT	.563	-	.271	.270	.335	.518	.482	.416	.441	.466	.656	.449
ADD	mBERT	.363	.102	.398	.389	.341	.368	.345	.386	.279	.488	.689	.371
AFD	XLM-R	.444	.151	.264	.257	.386	.290	.287	.318	.195	.379	.500	.316
	XL-LEXEME	.886*	.231	.839*	.812*	.665*	.796*	.820*	.863*	.659	.640*	.731*	.751*
PRT	BERT	.457		.422	.158	.413	.400	.374	.347	.507	.444	.712	.406
	mBERT	.270	.380	.436	.193	.543	.391	.356	.423	.219	.438	.524	.395
	XLM-R	.411	.424	.369	.020	.505	.321	.443	.405	.387	.149	.558	.381
	XL-LEXEME	.676	.506*	.824	.696	.632	.704	.750	.727	.764*	.519	.699	.693
	BERT	.289	-	.469	090	.225	.069	.279	.094	.314	.011	.165	.179
ADUISD	mBERT	.181	.277	.280	.023	.067	.017	.086	116	.035	090	.465	.077
AP+J5D	XLM-R	.278	.398	.224	076	.224	068	.209	.130	100	.030	.448	.142
	XL-LEXEME	.493	.033	.499	.118	.392	.106	.053	.117	.297	.381	.308	.223
	BERT	.385	-	.355	.106	.383	.135	.102	.243	.233	.087	.533	.239
III DID	mBERT	.323	039	.312	.195	.343	068	.160	.142	.241	.290	.338	.181
WiDiD	XLM-R	.564	064	.499	.129	.459	.268	.216	.342	.226	.349	.382	.314
	XL-LEXEME	.652	.236	.677	.475	.522	.178	.354	.364	.561	.457	.563	.422

#### **Experimental results** – Layers

Fair comparison on Graded Change Detection



Figure 2: Score distribution for GCD obtained by using all possible layer combinations of length 2 (e.g., Layer 1 and 2), length 3 (e.g., Layer 10, 11, 12), and length 4 (e.g., Layer 1, 10, 11, 12) for BERT, mBERT, and XLM-R. The y-axis represents the Spearman correlation. We highlight the performance for GCD obtained using Layer 8, Layer 12, and the sum of the last 4 layers (i.e.,  $\bigoplus$  9-12).

# **2nd Experimental setup** Full complexity of Lexical Semantic Change

#### **Benchmark creation**

- Semantic proximity judgments of word in-context
- Word sense induction based on proximity judgments
- Quantification of semantic change from induced senses

- c<sub>1</sub>: But we are most familiar with the exhibitions of gravity in bodies descending inclined planes, as in the avalanche and the cataract.
- $c_2$ : Over the next several years, he said, the Coast Guard will get 60 more people, two new 270-foot vessels and al twin-engine planes.



# **2nd Experimental setup** Full complexity of Lexical Semantic Change

#### **Benchmark creation**

- Semantic proximity judgments of word in-context
- *Word sense induction* based on proximity judgments
- *Quantification of semantic change* from induced senses

#### **Computational annotators**

- Word-in-Context
- Word Sense Induction
- Graded Change Detection

BERT, mBERT, XLM-R, XL-LEXEME GPT-4 (OpenAl, 2023)

# **Experimental results** – Evaluation tasks Full complexity of Lexical Semantic Change

		EN	DE	SV	ES		RU		N	0	ZH	Avgw
		$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_i - C_j$				
	BERT	.503	.350	.221	.319	.314	.344	.350	.429	.406	.516	.358
WiC	mBERT	.332	.344	.284	.289	.280	.273	.293	.283	.333	.413	.301
ž	XLM-R	.352	.289	.255	.288	.212	.250	.251	.317	.261	.392	.272
-	XL-LEXEME	.626	.628	.631	.547	.549	.558	.564	.484	.521	.630	.568
	GPT-4.0	.606	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
	Agreement	.633	.666	.672	.531	.531	.567	.564	.761	.667	.602	.593
	BERT	.136/.700	.047 / .662	.023 / .596	.189 / .695	- / -	- / -	- / -	.251/.771	.247 / .758	.279 / .759	.166 / .702
ISM	mBERT	.067 / .644	.054 / .679	.024 / .648	.228 / .700	- / -	- / -	- / -	.241 / .759	.159 / .753	.172/.713	.146 / .696
	XLM-R	.068 / .737	.024 / .725	.031 / .680	.164 / .755	- / -	- / -	- / -	.179 / .775	.183 / .715	.279/.806	.133 / .743
	XL-LEXEME	.273 / .834	.300 / .788	.249 / .766	.400 / .820	- / -	- / -	- / -	.337 / .806	.304 / .808	.448 / .836	.339 / .810
	GPT-4.0	.340 / .877	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -	- / -
	BERT	.425	.116	.148	.284	.487	.452	.469	.571	.521	.808	.422
81	mBERT	.120	.205	.234	.394	.372	.325	.408	.290	.454	.737	.357
Ö	XLM-R	.219	.069	.143	.464	.284	.301	.375	.395	.345	.557	.324
	XL-LEXEME	.801	.799	.721	.655	.780	.824	.851	.620	.567	.716	.754
	GPT-4.0	.818	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WiC: Spearman's correlation – WSI: ARI / PURITY – GCD: Spearman's correlation <sup>95</sup>

# **Experimental results** – Evaluation tasks Full complexity of Lexical Semantic Change

		EN	DE	SV	ES		RU		N	0	ZH	Avgw
		$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_i - C_j$				
	BERT	.503	.350	.221	.319	.314	.344	.350	.429	.406	.516	.358
-	mBERT	.332	.344	.284	.289	.280	.273	.293	.283	.333	.413	.301
NIC	XLM-R	.352	.289	.255	.288	.212	.250	.251	.317	.261	.392	.272
-	XL-LEXEME	.626	.628	.631	.547	.549	.558	.564	.484	.521	.630	.568
	GPT-4.0	.606	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Agreement	.633	.666	.672	.531	.531	.567	.564	.761	.667	.602	.593
	BERT	.136/.700	.047 / .662	.023 / .596	.189/.695	-/-	-/-	- / -	.251/.771	.247 / .758	.279/.759	.166 / .702
5	mBERT	.067 / .644	.054 / .679	.024 / .648	.228 / .700	- / -	- / -	- / -	.241 / .759	.159 / .753	.172/.713	.146/.696
×	XLM-R	.068 / .737	.024 / .725	.031/.680	.164 / .755	- / -	- / -	- / -	.179/.775	.183 / .715	.279/.806	.133 / .743
	XL-LEXEME	.273 / .834	.300 / .788	.249 / .766	.400 / .820	- / -	-/-	- / -	.337 / .806	.304 / .808	.448 / .836	.339 / .810
3	GPT-4.0	.340 / .877	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	- / -	- / -	-/-	-/-	- / -	-/-
	BERT	.425	.116	.148	.284	.487	.452	.469	.571	.521	.808	.422
8	mBERT	.120	.205	.234	.394	.372	.325	.408	.290	.454	.737	.357
Ö	XLM-R	.219	.069	.143	.464	.284	.301	.375	.395	.345	.557	.324
	XL-LEXEME	.801	.799	.721	.655	.780	.824	.851	.620	.567	.716	.754
	GPT-4.0	.818	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

WiC: Spearman's correlation – WSI: ARI / PURITY – GCD: Spearman's correlation <sup>96</sup>

# **Experimental results** – Evaluation tasks Full complexity of Lexical Semantic Change

		EN	DE	SV	ES		RU		N	0	ZH	Avgw
		$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_2 - C_3$	$C_1 - C_2$	$C_i - C_j$				
	BERT	.503	.350	.221	.319	.314	.344	.350	.429	.406	.516	.358
~	mBERT	.332	.344	.284	.289	.280	.273	.293	.283	.333	.413	.301
VIC	XLM-R	.352	.289	.255	.288	.212	.250	.251	.317	.261	.392	.272
-	XL-LEXEME	.626	.628	.631	.547	.549	.558	.564	.484	.521	.630	.568
	GPT-4.0	.606	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Agreement	.633	.666	.672	.531	.531	.567	.564	.761	.667	.602	.593
	BERT	.136 / .700	.047 / .662	.023 / .596	.189/.695	- / -	- / -	- / -	.251/.771	.247 / .758	.279/.759	.166 / .702
S	mBERT	.067 / .644	.054 / .679	.024 / .648	.228 / .700	- / -	- / -	- / -	.241 / .759	.159 / .753	.172/.713	.146 / .696
M	XLM-R	.068 / .737	.024 / .725	.031 / .680	.164 / .755	- / -	- / -	- / -	.179 / .775	.183 / .715	.279 / .806	.133 / .743
	XL-LEXEME	.273 / .834	.300 / .788	.249 / .766	.400 / .820	- / -	- / -	- / -	.337 / .806	.304 / .808	.448 / .836	.339 / .810
	GPT-4.0	.340 / .877	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	- / -	- / -	-/-	-/-	- / -	-/-
<u> </u>	BERT	.425	.116	.148	.284	.487	.452	.469	.571	.521	.808	.422
81	mBERT	.120	.205	.234	.394	.372	.325	.408	.290	.454	.737	.357
Ö	XLM-R	.219	.069	.143	.464	.284	.301	.375	.395	.345	.557	.324
	XL-LEXEME	.801	.799	.721	.655	.780	.824	.851	.620	.567	.716	.754
	GPT-4.0	.818	240	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-

WiC: Spearman's correlation – WSI: ARI / PURITY – GCD: Spearman's correlation <sup>97</sup>

# **Concluding remarks** Future directions

- A systematic evaluation of LMs and approaches for modeling LSC.
  - obtaining state-of-the-art performance on Graded Change Detection does not solve the Lexical Semantic Change problem
  - there is a need to distinguish the different senses of a word
- A systematic evaluation of LMs as computational annotators.
  - models can be used to aid the evaluation of word meaning in context
  - more consistent approaches are needed to cluster word senses



# Thank for your attention!



Change Is Key!

https://www.changeiskey.org/



pierluigi.cassotti@gu.se